

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

BOBBY LEE DICKERSON, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALLWORLDWIDE INTERPOLE U.S.
POLICE OFFICERS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C16-0242-RAJ-MAT

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Bobby Lee Dickerson, Jr. is currently confined at the South Correctional Entity (SCORE) in Des Moines, Washington. Plaintiff has submitted to this Court for filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (*See* Dkt. 1.) Plaintiff's proposed complaint is largely unintelligible as is the lengthy list of proposed defendants. However, his statement of claim includes references to unlawful imprisonment, slander, and defamation of character, and his request for relief includes a request for \$100 octillion in monetary relief, an additional \$700 trillion in gold, release from custody, and return of his children. (*See id.*)

Plaintiff failed to submit with his complaint either the filing fee or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Typically the Court would address such deficiencies by directing a letter to plaintiff advising him of the deficiencies and providing him an opportunity to correct

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1

1 them. However, plaintiff is a frequent litigant in this Court and, as such, is aware of the filing
2 fee requirement. The Court declines to spend additional resources guiding plaintiff through a
3 process with which he is well familiar. Moreover, when the Court has sent filing fee deficiency
4 letters to plaintiff in prior actions, plaintiff has typically responded by submitting an application
5 to proceed *in forma pauperis*, as opposed to paying the filing fee. It would serve no apparent
6 purpose for the Court to solicit an *in forma pauperis* application from plaintiff in this case as it
7 does not appear that he is eligible to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

8 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), a prisoner may not proceed *in forma pauperis* in a civil
9 action if he or she has, on three or more prior occasions, brought civil actions that were
10 dismissed on the grounds that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon
11 which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical
12 injury. This Court's records reflect that plaintiff has filed at least three prior civil actions, *in*
13 *forma pauperis*, which were dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be
14 granted. See *Dickerson v. Satterburg*, C09-1279-RSM (dismissed Oct. 22, 2009); *Dickerson v.*
15 *Bals*, C09-1419-JCC (dismissed Jan. 14, 2010); *Dickerson v. RJC King County Jail*, C09-1508-
16 JCC (dismissed Jan. 14, 2010). Accordingly, plaintiff may not proceed with this action without
17 prepayment of the full filing fee unless he shows that he was "under imminent danger of serious
18 physical injury" at the time he signed his pleading. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); *Andrews v. Cervantes*,
19 493 F.3d 1047, 1053 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[I]t is the circumstances at the time of the filing of the
20 complaint that matters for purposes of the 'imminent danger' exception to § 1915(g).").

21 While plaintiff inserted the phrase "imminent danger" in an attachment to his complaint
22 (see Dkt. 1 at 2), he fails to articulate specific facts demonstrating that any of the defendants
23 identified in his complaint is subjecting him to imminent danger from a particular harm. Plaintiff

1 is therefore ineligible to file this lawsuit in federal court without paying the \$350.00 filing fee
2 plus a \$50.00 administrative fee (for a total of \$400.00).

3 Based on the foregoing, this Court recommends that plaintiff be directed to pay the filing
4 fee within ten days of the date on which this Report and Recommendation is adopted, and that
5 this action be terminated if plaintiff fails to do so. A proposed order accompanies this Report
6 and Recommendation.

7 Objections to this Report and Recommendation, if any, should be filed with the Clerk
8 within **fourteen (14) days** of the date on which this Report and Recommendation is signed.
9 Failure to file objections within the specified time may affect the right to appeal. Objections
10 should be noted for consideration on the District Judge's motions calendar for the first Friday
11 after they are filed. If no timely objections are filed, the matter will be ready for consideration
12 by the District Judge on **March 11, 2016**.

13 DATED this 24th day of February, 2016.

14
15 

16 Mary Alice Theiler
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23